to be a sign of the bond without the existence of the love; but it is not possible to be a sign of the love of Christ in a marriage if one is not at the same time a sign of the bond which unites Christ to his Church. This is the avenue canon law has taken in determining that it is consent, rather than love, that makes marriage; even if the *bonum conjugum* has emerged of late as one of the ends of marriage.

Canon law has been blamed many times for the difficulties encountered by married people, including Catholics who have divorced and remarried civilly or otherwise. Such reproaches cannot be extended to canonists themselves, unless it be that they try too hard to regulate, on a juridical level, situations whose resolution could at times be better sought on a theological or pastoral level. To what extent have we not been responsible in this way, for delays to offer real solutions to the problems of those who have experienced the breakdown of their marriage? I mean that we must not forget that marriage, once again, is not only the sacrament of bond but also the sacrament of love. And then, the problem and its solution might be of a theological nature, without forgetting that the juridical dimension would have to be taken into account at one stage or another since it is this dimension which is the basis of the contract.

Speaking of the theological dimension of marriage, we should not forget a theological solution. I am reminded of the solution used by a number of Orthodox Churches and already mentioned by Pope Francis. It should lead us to consider a question: did the Church stretch to its limit the power to forgive, a power which was handed over to her by Christ? We possess a good **spirituality** of forgiveness, but do we possess an equally good **theology** of forgiveness? Would it not be possible to grant pardon to those members of the faithful who made a mistake in marrying or in entering a sacramental marriage? Or to those guilty of a grave offense against their commitment to be a sign in the community of the love of Christ for His Church?

My fear is that the present Synod has created too high expectations. My hope is simply that the Synodal Fathers will face the true questions and accordingly examine the true, possible solutions.

Thank you very much for your kindness.

## Varia

## U.S. Tribunal Statistics 2013

Since 1975, the CLSA has published Tribunal Statistics annually in *Proceedings*. These statistics are provided voluntarily by participating tribunal offices in the United States. This year's statistical report was compiled by means similar to last year's report. Tribunals were asked to submit a copy of the report sent annually to the Apostolic Signatura, as well as some basic financial information. Submissions came by mail to the Office of the Executive Coordinator or by online entry via <u>clsa.org</u>. This change, implemented three years ago, has resulted in a more accurate comparison of statistics among U.S. tribunals.

Participation in the survey increased in comparison to last year. This year, the CLSA received information from 165 tribunals, compared to 163 last year. Included again in this year's report is the number of decisions found contrary to hullity from each reporting tribunal, with the percentage of negative decisions out of the total calculated. Following the same gradual trend as indicated in the past several years, the number of cases introduced and decisions made in American tribunals continues to decline, while expenses continue to rise.

The tribunals of the Archdioceses of Baltimore, Galveston-Houston, Los Angeles, Philadelphia, San Francisco, Santa Fe; and the Dioceses of Amarillo, Arlington, Burlington, Covington, Fort Worth, Helena, Juneau, Kalamazoo, Las Vegas, Pittsburgh, Winona, the Eparchy of St. Maron of Brooklyn, The Archeparchy for Ukranians in Pittsburgh, the Ukrainian Diocese of St. Josaphat in Parma and the Holy Protection of Mary, Byzantine Catholic Eparchy of Phoenix did not participate in the survey.

Number	r of Tribuna	als Represented
	2009	131
	2010	135
	2011	130
	2012	164
	2013	165

And the second s	First Instance Statistics 2013													
(Arch)Diocese	Documentary Cases Closed	Formal Cases Held Over	Formal Cases Introduced	Sentences in Favor of Nullity	Sentences Contrary to Nullity	Total formal decisions (affirmative & negative)	% of Total Decisions Found Contrary to Nullity	Peremption/Renunciation	Total	Total Fees Received	Amount of Diocesan Subsidy	Total Annual Expenses		
Albany	0	47	97	52	2	54	4%	7	61					
Alexandria	8	52	50	48	2	50	4%	4	54	\$14,525.00	\$181,435.00	\$195,960.00		
Allentown	0	143	157	77	0	77	0%	0	77	\$37,200.00	\$227,000.00	\$264,200.00		
Altoona- Johnstown	3	15	86	60	0	60	0%	5	65		\$73,000.00	\$60,000.00		
Anchorage	0	39	32	36	0	36	0%	4	40	\$9,076.50	\$86,797.95	\$95,874.45		
Atlanta	0	491	301	380	80	460	17%	38	498					
Austin	19	290	173	185	10	195	5%	15	210	\$61,163.00	\$233,532.00	\$294,695.00		
Baker	16	31	23	19	1	20	5%	7	27	\$5,750.00	\$88,453.00	\$94,203.00		
Baton Rouge	17	138	144	97	0	97	0%	12	109	\$39,740.00	\$226,815.22	\$266,815.22		
Beaumont	5	150	77	56	1	57	2%	14	57	\$19,521.00	\$157,640.00	\$177,161.00		
Belleville	8	100	53	40	0	40	0%	6	46	\$9,980.00	\$50,506.00	\$60,486.00		
Biloxi	0	125	35	42	0	42	0%	10	52	\$4,025.00	\$142,500.00	\$145,135.00		
Birmingham	0	68	91	37	0	37	0%	10	47	\$24,730.00	\$169,560.00	\$192,055.00		
Bismarck	0	36	41	22	1	23	4%	4	27	\$14,280.00	\$170,083.00	\$184,363.00		
Boise	13	190	159	107	0	107	0%	9	116	\$25,523.00	\$164,688.00	\$190,211.00		
Boston	4	173	156	111	0	111	0%	7	118					
Bridgeport	0	44	57	41	2	43	5%	3	46					
Brooklyn	6	114	116	89	2	91	2%	9	100	\$115,013.00	\$124,500.00	\$225,400.00		
Brownsville	237	70	67	56	0	56	0%	8	64	\$36,350.00	\$25,100.00	\$61,450.00		
Buffalo	91	35	87	92	0	92	0%	0	92	\$63,983.00	\$192,713.00	\$256,696.00		
Camden	2	75	47	39	1	40	3%	9	49	\$30,130.00	\$169,303.00	\$199,433.00		
Charleston	18	91	80	86	3	89	3%	10	99	\$119,577.80	\$211,424.26	\$331,002.06		
Charlotte	21	97	131	131	2	133	2%	6	142	\$63,805.00	\$409,316.78	\$415,678.69		
Cheyenne	5	68	45	61	1	62	2%	4	66	\$31,175.00	\$95,362.00	\$126,537.00		
Chicago	24	130	367	287	5	292	2%	11	303	\$265,062.93	\$967,835.69	\$1,232,898.62		
Cincinnati	0	174	221	188	13	201	6%	0	201	\$73,050.00	\$402,217.00	\$474,391.08		
Cleveland	216	236	275	201	7	208	3%	11	219	\$131,178.00	\$557,544.00	\$688,721.00		

	FIRST INSTANCE STATISTICS 2013												
(Arch)Diocese	Documentary Cases Closed	Formal Cases Held Over	Formal Cases Introduced	Sentences in Favor of Nullity	Sentences Contrary to Nullity	Total formal decisions (affirmative & negative)	% of Total Decisions Found Contrary to Nullity	Peremption/Renunciation	Total	Total Fees Received	Amount of Diocesan Subsidy	Total Annual Expenses	
Colorado Springs	4	38	28	18	8	26	31%	1	26				
Columbus	13	117	168	137	6	143	4%	13	156	\$0.00	\$416,471.00	\$534,053.00	
Corpus Christi	0	81	119	51	0	51	0%	2	51	\$38,069.00	\$145,702.00	\$183,771.00	
Crookston	18	31	31	27	1	28	4%	6	34	\$0.00	\$148,238.00	\$148,238.00	
Dallas	24	244	257	179	1	180	1%	106	286	\$43,100.00	\$440,444.00	\$483,544.00	
Davenport	8	99	64	55	3	58	5%	13	71	\$33,415.00	\$109,221.00	\$142,636.00	
Denver	0	413	135	180	6	186	3%	17	203				
Des Moines	39	48	79	52	1	53	2%	2	55	\$36,350.00	\$57,590.00	\$93,940.00	
Detroit	12	98	355	259	5	264	2%	26	290	\$21,250.00	\$659,741.98	\$7,275.00	
Dodge City	0	15	33	28	0	28	0%	5	33	\$3,605.00	\$86,596.68	\$90,201.68	
Dubuque	1	152	125	111	0	111	0%	13	124	\$54,301.00	\$194,570.00	\$248,871.00	
Duluth	0	18	26	11	1	12	8%	2	14	\$3,144.00		-	
El Paso	0	119	64	73	6	79	8%	7	86		~		
Erie	3	52	132	100	1	101	1%	1	102	\$38,300.00	\$332,826.00	\$371,126.00	
Evansville	2	4	52	48	0	48	0%	5	53	\$16,207.00	\$216,808.00	\$233,015.00	
Fairbanks	0	19	10	11	0	11	0%	6	17	\$1,550.00			
Fall River	0	84	51	31	0	31	0%	12	43	~~~			
Fargo	45	66	38	62	4	66	6%	7	73	\$3,875.00	\$214,433.38	\$219,065.24	
Fort Wayne- South Bend	70	48	108	35	17	52	33%	10	62	\$5,133.00	\$374,047.00	\$379,180.00	
Fresno	209	158	179	159	5	164	3%	16	159	\$95,811.95	\$64,574.44	\$160,386.35	
Gallup	0	31	13	16	5	21	24%	9	30	\$1,900.00		\$28,380.00	
Gary	1	181	57	53	0	53	0%	5	53	\$21,735.00	\$202,301.00	\$224,036.00	
Gaylord	3	42	39	27	1	28	4%	1	29	\$0.00	\$85,176.00	\$85,176.00	
Grand Island	44	68	47	37	0	37	0%	2	39	\$6,375.00	\$189,190.89	\$195,565.89	
Grand Rapids	85	139	129	106	1	107	1%	9	116	\$0.00	\$112,110.00	\$112,110.22	
Great Falls- Billings	23	19	22	12	0	12	0%	11	23	\$1,050.00	\$107,273.04	\$108,323.04	

				Fir	RST	Insta	nce St	ATIS	TIC	CS 2013	nden der National der State villet verbet der State in der State villet verbet der State villet verbet verbeitet ver	
(Arch)Diocese	Documentary Cases Closed	Formal Cases Held Over	Formal Cases Introduced	Sentences in Favor of Nullity	Sentences Contrary to Nullity	Total formal decisions (affirmative & negative)	% of Total Decisions Found Contrary to Nullity	Peremption/Renunciation	Total	Total Fees Received	Amount of Diocesan Subsidy	Total Annual Expenses
Green Bay	0	52	102	99	3	102	3%	0	102	\$32,155.00	\$114,128.00	\$146,283.00
Greensburg	0	33	66	72	0	72	0%	0	72			
Harrisburg	0	311	174	149	8	157	5%	16	173	\$91,461.94	\$371,079.94	\$462,541.88
Hartford	1	82	76	57	11	68	16%	12	80			
Honolulu	0	20	31	31	0	31	0%	2	31	\$16,501.00	\$131,367.00	\$147,868.00
Houma- Thibodaux	0	29	41	29	3	32	9%	1	33	\$12,710,00	\$55,450.00	\$68,160.00
Indianapolis	32	139	108	101	0	101	0%	9	110	\$32,741.25	\$0.00	\$0.00
Jackson	5	22	41	23	0	23	0%	12	35	\$0.00	\$95,602.00	\$95,602.00
Jefferson City	11	109	103	69	1	70	1%	5	75	\$0.00	\$161,836.00	\$161,836.00
Joliet	6	60	175	171	0	171	0%	22	199	\$67,217.50	\$462,200.00	\$542,200.00
Kansas City - St. Joseph	0	127	90	77	0	77	0%	2	79			
Kansas City in Kansas	119	366	274	225	7	232	3%	18	250	\$76,990.00	\$217,866.00	\$294,856.00
La Crosse	1	56	120	112	3	115	3%	9	124	\$45,583.00	\$105,561.00	\$170,850.00
Lafayette in Indiana	69	71	80	56	7	63	11%	7	70			
Lafayette in Louisiana	0	173	136	112	17	129	13%	2	135	\$46,950.00	\$117,940.00	\$8,453.00
Lake Charles	7	57	69	35	6	41	15%	1	42	\$17,325.00	\$121,919.98	\$139,244.98
Lansing	12	108	130	148	1	149	1%	17	166	\$26,320.17	\$386,297.46	\$412,617.63
Laredo	52	21	27	11	0	11	0%	4	15	\$6,425.00	\$81,330.28	\$53,254.13
Las Cruces	0	90	59	46	0	46	0%	11	46	\$18,674.00	\$71,995.30	\$90,669.30
Lexington	6	28	63	63	0	63	0%	6	72	\$0.00	\$203,922.00	\$203,922.00
Lincoln	3	63	52	49	1	50	2%	8	58		84%	
Little Rock	29	73	179	149	0	149	0%	15	164	\$24,700.00	\$149,876.00	\$174,576.00
Los Angeles, Eparchy of Our Lady of Lebanon of	18	15	19	23	0	23	0%	1	24	\$12,850.00	\$3,150.00	\$16,000.00

	Export Internation Co.													
	FIRST INSTANCE STATISTICS 2013													
(Arch)Diocese	Documentary Cases Closed	Formal Cases Held Over	Formal Cases Introduced	Sentences in Favor of Nullity	Sentences Contrary to Nullity	Total formal decisions (affirmative & negative)	% of Total Decisions Found Contrary to Nullity	Peremption/Renunciation	Total	Total Fees Received	Amount of Diocesan Subsidy	Total Annual Expenses		
Louisville	7	13	119	117	0	117	0%	1	117	\$25,715	\$285,338	\$270,101		
Lubbock	42	72	61	45	0	45	0%	3	48	\$17,305.92	\$9,015.00	\$73,611.71		
Madison	0	78	62	18	23	41	56%	12	53	\$19,655.00	\$233,677.00	\$253,332.00		
Manchester	70	169	82	85	0	85	0%	5	90	\$30,907.00	\$8,793.00	\$39,700.00		
Marquette	26	0	24	27	0	27	0%	0	27	\$5,880.00	\$29,473.00	\$35,353.00		
Memphis	12	41	82	35	2	37	5%	5	42	\$1,400.00	\$134,590.00	\$135,990.00		
Metuchen	4	102	80	61	0	61	0%	9	70	\$45,965.00	\$329,352.27	\$375,317.27		
Miami	4	165	234	183	8	191	4%	17	208					
Military Services	5	184	99	82	1	83	1%	0	83	\$48,190.00	\$124,771.06	\$173,325.73		
Milwaukee	1	217	208	173	0	173	0%	21	193	\$61,247.50	\$591,439.74	\$530,192.24		
Mobile	0	111	127	44	10	54	19%	5	59	\$15,144.00	\$290,856.00	\$306,000.00		
Monterey	0	44	20	18	1	19	5%	5	24	\$15,300.00	\$81,120.00	\$96,420.00		
Nashville	19	96	115	56	18	74	24%	3	77		\$403,589.00	\$403,589.00		
New Orleans	17	213	116	119	12	131	9%	0	137	\$53,080.00	\$396,943.00	\$450,023.00		
New Ulm	2	29	30	13	0	13	0%	3	16	\$500.00	\$110,326.30	\$110,826.30		
New York	3	204	245	186	39	225	17%	5	230	\$232,000.00	\$1,061,900.00	\$1,293,900.00		
Newark	0	114	104	102	1	103	1%	35	138	\$67,555.00	\$360,478.00	\$428,033.00		
Newton, Eparchy of	10	3	12	9	0	9	0%	0	12					
Norwich	0	48	36	39	2	41	5%	0	41	\$8,585.00	\$343,972.92	\$352,557.92		
Oakland	0	227	77	59	2	61	3%	11	72	\$73,413.00	\$609,706.00	\$683,119.00		
Ogdensburg	0	35	42	30	1	31	3%	14	45	\$12,428.57	\$48,674.46	\$61,103.03		
Oklahoma City	118	145	212	173	14	187	7%	13	200		\$155,580.00	\$155,580.00		
Omaha	0	222	170	99	8	107	7%	9	116	\$33,113.00	\$322,088.42	\$355,201.42		
Orange	20	86	180	0	0	0	0%	0	169	\$141,558.99	\$778,619.74	\$920,178.73		
Orlando	0	170	265	312	47	359	13%	8	359	\$144,454.61	\$330,812.01	\$536,870.12		
Owensboro	15	105	61	67	0	67	0%	6	73	\$9,539.00	\$236,726.00	\$246,265.00		
Palm Beach	24	55	67	65	2	67	3%	2	68					

300	***************************************			Fre	est `	INSTA	NCE ST	ATIS	TTC	S 2013		
	1					LIVUIA		ZRRRC			<u> </u>	
(Arch)Diocese	Documentary Cases Closed	Formal Cases Held Over	Formal Cases Introduced	Sentences in Favor of Nullity	Sentences Contrary to Nullity	Total formal decisions (affirmative & negative)	% of Total Decisions Found Contrary to Nullity	Peremption/Renunciation	Total	Total Fees Received	Amount of Diocesan Subsidy	Total Annual Expenses
Parma, Byz. Eparchy	0	4	3	4	0	4	0%	0	4			
Passaic, Byz Ruthenian Eparchy	0	17	6	6	0	6	0%	1	6	\$4,800.00	\$18,000.00	\$24,000.00
Paterson	0	131	71	30	8	38	21%	12	38	\$47,235.00	\$255,120.00	\$302,355.00
Pensacola- Tallahassee	0	27	73	46	3	49	6%	5	54	\$7,150.00	\$62,890.00	\$70,040.00
Peoria	7	91	124	95	1	96	1%	4	100	\$71,250.00	\$321,615.00	\$382,914.00
Philadelphia, Archeparchy for Ukrainians	2	0	5	4	1	5	20%	0	5	\$1,450.00	\$0.00	\$2,686.03
Phoenix	11	385	138	63	29	92	32%	6	98			
Portland in Maine	0	89	127			0	0%	0	126	\$35,000.00	\$281,395.00	\$316,395.00
Portland in Oregon	20	142	140	138	0	138	0%	7	145	\$44,769.00	\$0.00	\$29,123.60
Providence	0	67	67	41	8	49	16%	2	51	\$57,300.00	\$259,178.00	\$316,478.00
Pueblo	5	29	26	23	0	23	0%	4	23	\$3,390.00	\$139,601.00	\$142.99
Raleigh	139	113	104	107	1	108	1%	8	116	\$34,190.00	\$220,824.00	\$266,263.00
Rapid City	9	33	27	7		7	0%	2	9	\$2,535.00	\$54,347.31	\$56,882.31
Reno	54	42	30	17	2	19	11%	2	21	\$9,145.00	\$157,167.85	\$166,312.85
Richmond	0	96	169	139	13	152	9%	7	159			
Rochester	0	43	59	45	7	52	13%	6	58	\$28,609.00	\$223,815.00	\$252,424.00
Rockford	114	69	172	199	3	202	1%	14	216			
Rockville Centre	4	406	236	205	1	206	0%	44	250			
Sacramento	9	250	93	67	24	91	26%	57	148			
Saginaw	65	41	72	81	2	83	2%	1	84	\$2,625.00	\$124,971.35	\$127,596.35
Salina	0	58	61	49	0	49	0%	9	68	\$17,590.00	\$57,525.00	\$75,115.00
Salt Lake City	32	10	65	63	2	65	3%	6	63	\$13,495.00	\$100,090.00	\$113,585.00
San Angelo	0	37	61	50	0	50	0%	2	52	\$8,593.00	\$197,574.86	\$206,167.86

		eliario nuestra accom		Fi	RST	Insta	NCE ST	ATI	STIC	CS 2013		
(Arch)Diocese	Documentary Cases Closed	Formal Cases Held Over	Formal Cases Introduced	Sentences in Favor of Nullity	Sentences Contrary to Nullity	Total formal decisions (affirmative & negative)	% of Total Decisions Found Contrary to Nullity	Peremption/Renunciation	Total	Total Fees Received	Amount of Diocesan Subsidy	Total Annual Expenses
San Antonio	12	113	281	248	<u>×</u> 11	259	4%	4	263	\$125,150.00	·····	\$259,907.00
San			_		<b></b>							
Bernardino	5	143	145	96	11	107	10%	11	118	\$56,855.00	\$282,536.00	\$339,391.00
San Diego	5	218	192	136	5	141	4%	12	153		\$299,798.00	\$347,538.00
San Jose	132	104	97	93	2	95	2%	3	95	\$65,513.87	\$358,800.07	\$424,313.94
Santa Rosa	0	13	38	36	2	38	5%	0	38	\$17,346.00	\$67,747.00	\$85,092.00
Savannah	9	20	60	42	0	42	0%	14	56	\$18,462.76	\$216,404.00	\$174,195.81
Scranton	0	56	110	108	2	110	2%	3	113	\$79,434.50	\$278,618.51	\$358,053.01
Seattle	32	216	173	177	0	177	0%	5	182	\$61,892.00	\$430,583.00	\$553,113.00
Shreveport	5	49	34	39	4	43	9%	6	41	\$1,250.00	\$187,943.00	\$108,346.45
Sioux City	1	55	63	65	0	65	0%	3	68	\$23,354.00	\$165,001.43	\$188,355.43
Sioux Falls	0	29	82	68	0	68	0%	7	75	\$21,179.00	\$111,165.60	\$120,465.90
Spokane	3	20	51	63		63	0%	0	63	\$11,925.00	\$34,128.00	\$46,053.00
Springfield, Cape Girardeau	45	76	141	93	12	105	11%	14	119	\$13,155.00	\$199,758.68	\$217,017.00
Springfield, Illinois	88	128	111	107	1	108	1%	3	111	\$63,593.00	\$214,742.44	\$278,335.44
Springfield, Massachusetts	50	76	74	80	0	80	0%	10	90	\$25,405.00	\$163,516.00	\$188,921.00
St. Augustine	22	155	88	25	2	27	7%	7	34	\$58,290.00	\$163,633.96	\$241,950.91
St. Cloud	0	98	75	49	0	49	0%	3	45	\$34,467.00	\$141,954.00	\$165,245.00
St. Louis	12	274	216	187	1	188	1%	30	218	\$127,677.00	\$323,370.00	\$451,047.00
St. Paul and Minneapolis	0	300	102	64	26	90	29%	5	95	\$74,385.00	\$855,424.00	\$929,809.00
St. Petersburg	0	26	215	158	44	202	22%	5	207			
Stamford, Ukrainian Eparchy of	0					0	0%	0		\$600.00	\$250.00	\$400.00
Steubenville	4	27	39	43	4	47	9%	2	49	\$10,600.00	\$74,693.10	\$85,293.10
Stockton	0	88	38	50	1	51	2%	4	55	\$47,707.00	\$110,082.00	\$157,789.00
Superior	0	29	32	43	0	43	0%	0	43	\$6,227.50	\$97,185.48	\$130,412.98

				Fil	RST	Insta	nce St	ATIS	STIC	CS 2013	•	
(Arch)Diocese	Documentary Cases Closed	Formal Cases Held Over	Formal Cases Introduced	Sentences in Favor of Nullity	Sentences Contrary to Nullity	Total formal decisions (affirmative & negative)	% of Total Decisions Found Contrary to Nullity	Peremption/Renunciation	Total	Total Fees Received	Amount of Diocesan Subsidy	. Total Annual Expenses
Syracuse	0	34	95	108	0	108	0%	1	109	\$67,750.00	\$35,372.00	\$103,722.00
Toledo	119	72	177	151	0	151	0%	12	163	\$39,605.00	\$200,394.36	\$239,999.36
Trenton	6	152	95	88	0	88	0%	3	91	\$73,430.00	\$322,785.00	\$396,215.00
Tucson	8	161	47	48	1	49	2%	47	96	\$31,285.00	\$91,903.00	\$123,188.00
Tulsa	90	33	53	58	0	58	0%	11	69	-		\$70,057.00
Tyler	0	42	70	43	7	50	14%	12	62	\$7,415.00	\$54,203.00	\$107,745.00
Venice	93	116	70	49	28	77	36%	54	131			
Victoria	4	14	42	43	1	44	2%	2	46	40%	60%	
Washington, DC	6	58	282	124	25	149	17%	43	192			
Wheeling- Charleston	45	99	72	31	13	44	30%	1	45			
Wichita	150	355	178	180	7	187	4%	20	207	\$28,000.00	\$48,000.00	\$76,000.00
Wilmington	4	72	56	37	0	37	0%	0	37	\$36,250.00	\$176,202.00	\$202,453.00
Worcester	0	25	55	34	0	34	0%	0	34	\$15,175.00	\$151,082.00	\$166,257.00
Yakima	7	50	27	28	1	29	3%	1	30	\$16,705.00	\$149,396.00	\$156,843.59
Youngstown	66	82	83	51	1	52	2%	4	56	\$16,713.53	\$268,071.70	\$273,817.56

Second Instance Statistics 2013											
(Arch)Diocese	Second Instance Pending at Beginning of Year	Introduced	Closed	Decree Confirmation	Sentence in Favor of Nullity	Sentence Contrary to Nullity					
Boise	1	117	118	118							
Boston	14	177	180	173	4	2					
Bridgeport	7	31	25	20	0	4					
Camden	21	37	38	29	1	8					
Cleveland	10	317	317	0	312	4					
Columbus	19	51	52	50	50	1					
Davenport	0	42	42	42	0	0					
Denver	0	101	91	90	1	0					
Des Moines	0	52	52	40	40	0					
Detroit	46	462	434	432	0	2					
Dubuque	24	170	170	168	2	0					
Evansville	0	52	52	52	0	0					
Fargo	0	6	3	3	0	0					
Fort Wayne-South Bend	8	58	54	35	5	14					
Fresno	5	94	89	89	0	0					
Gary	0	53	53	53	53	0					
Grand Rapids	57	155	190	189	1	0					
Green Bay		155	155								
Hartford	1	61	56	53	2	1					
Indianapolis	43	40	61	56	56	4					
Kansas City in Kansas	7	190	186	186	185	1					
Lafayette in Indiana	12	97	101		101	0					
Lafayette in Louisiana	3	39	38								
Lake Charles	21	35	47	35	35	12					
Lexington	10	44	52		51	0					
Louisville	20	127	119	119	119	0					
Memphis	5	81	82	82	0	0					
Metuchen	7	39	41	41	41	0					
Miami	17	698	636	621	1	13					
Milwaukee	14	272	277	277	0	0					
Mobile	50	95	113	110	2	1					

SECOND INSTANCE STATISTICS 2013											
(Arch)Diocese	Second Instance Pending at Beginning of Year	Introduced	Closed	Decree Confirmation	Sentence in Favor of Nullity	Sentence Contrary to Nullity					
Nashville	5	47	36	0	0	0					
New Orleans	44	326	355	317	3	32					
Newark	3	170	168	168	0	0					
Newton, Eparchy of	0	16	15	10							
Norwich	1	19	19	19	0	0					
Orange	25	407	396	395	144	0					
Owensboro	12	55	48	47	1	0					
Paterson	8	39	29	27	1	1					
Philadelphia, Archeparchy for Ukrainians	0	1	1	1	1	0					
Phoenix	53	180	155	144	3	7					
Portland in Maine	3	182	185								
Portland in Oregon	30	226	247	247	0	0					
Richmond	22	275	273	271	271	2					
Saginaw	0	102	100	98	2	0					
San Bernardino	0	81	57	57 ·	0	1					
San Diego	38	234	258	255	0	2					
San Jose	16	91	91	91	91	0					
Seattle	19	243	246	242	2	2					
Sioux City	0	32	28	28	0	0					
Springfield, Massachusetts	11	129	129	128	1	0					
St. Cloud	13	27	27	27	27	0					
St. Louis	123	461	532	525	3	3					
St. Paul and Minneapolis	192	304	242	224	11	7					
Steubenville	2	50	48	0	48	0					
Stockton	28	174	189	189	0	0					
Toledo	29	154	158	156	2	0					
Trenton	0	43	43	43	0	0					
Washington, DC	4	209	187	156	8	10					
Wheeling-Charleston	2	82	73	65	7	1					
Youngstown	5	102	91	142	91	0					

## Varia

## Contributors

Rev. Peter O. Akpoghiran, JCD, Judicial Vicar and Chancellor, Archdiocese of New Orleans, Louisiana

Dr. Anne Asselin, JCD, Dean, Faculty of Canon Law, Saint Paul University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Dr. Diane Barr, JD, JCD, PhD, Chancellor, Archdiocese of Baltimore, Maryland

Very Rev. Phillip J. Brown, SS, JD, JCD, Rector, Theological College, The Catholic University of America, Washington, DC; President, Canon Law Society of America

Rev. Thomas T. Brundage, JCL, Judicial Vicar, Archdiocese of Anchorage, Alaska

Dr. Margaret Chalmers, JCD, PhD, JD, Chancellor, Personal Ordinariate of the Chair of Saint Peter, Greenville, South Carolina

Rev. James J. Conn, SJ, JD, JCD, Professor of the Practice of Canon Law, Boston College, Massachusetts

Chorbishop John Faris, JCOD, Adjunct Professor, The Catholic University of America, Washington, DC

Most Rev. Brian Farrell, LC, STD, Secretary, Pontifical Council for Promoting Christian Unity, Vatican City, Europe

Mr. James-Daniel Flynn, JCL, Special Assistant to the Bishop, Diocese of Lincoln, Nebraska

Sr. Amy Hereford, JD, JCD, Religious Law and Consultation, Private Practice, St. Louis, Missouri

Rev. Michael Joyce, CM, JCD, Local Superior, Perryville, Missouri

Rev. Francis J. Marini, JD, JCOD, Judicial Vicar, Tribunal of the Eparchy of Saint Maron of Brooklyn, New York

Most Rev. David Motiuk, Bishop, JCOD, Eparch of Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Most Rev. Kevin Vann, JCD, Bishop, Diocese of Orange, California

Rev. Manuel Viera, OFM, JCL, Judicial Vicar, Diocese of Tucson, Arizona